

# JRS Group Cambridge Facility Biogas Utilization

Renewable energy from high  
strength wastewater

# JRS Group

- German Based Cellulose and Food Fiber Company (Acquired Cambridge late 2014)
- Cambridge Facility-Built 1988/89- Manufactures Oat Fiber utilizing an AHP wet chemical process upon oat hulls.
- Pre-treatment system start up was late 1989.
- ADI slow rate UASB
  - “Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket”
  - ~ 6 MG in volume

# JRS Group

## ➤ Anaerobic “Learning Curve”

- Unique Wastewater
- Pilot Samples less than representative
- Macronutrients/Micronutrients
- Problematic TSS

## ➤ Late entry into Biogas use

- Wastewater constituents excellent animal feed
- Pollution Prevention
- Eras where capital was tight- WW nearly always a defensive expenditure.
- “Bigger fish to fry”... aka problems

# JRS Group

## ➤ Anaerobic Stability + Business Stability = Biogas Project Timing

- Very much fit the Corporate Mantra at the time
- Gas prices high, project written on \$9+/therm gas offset

## ➤ Biogas Quantity and Quality

- Installed and tracked gas production
- Acquired a handheld gas meter, with charting ability
- We primarily monitored (O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S)
- Sent out for siloxanes, nitrogen, etc.

# JRS Group

- Whooops, Sorry... We've got gas...
  - Testing revealed we had issues with our cover
  - Initially we had O<sub>2</sub> levels that were elevated
  - System membrane cover had issues moving gas to the collection system, elevated negative pressure provided infiltration of air
  - Areas were tightened, and cover was weighted with sand tubes to force biogas to collection channels.
  
- Gas Quality Rectified
  - CH<sub>4</sub> 55-65%, H<sub>2</sub>S 300-400 ppm...

# JRS Group

- We've fixed our gas and it's collection problems, now what do we do...?
  - Investigated CHP, microturbines, fuel cells, and water heating
  - Capital cost, safety interlocks, siloxane content, simplicity.... Led us to the easiest, cheapest use of the energy.
  - System ended up being a chiller/dehumidifier, and compressor feeding our hot water heating units.

# JRS Group

## ➤ “The Good”

- Substantial redux in the gas used to heat hot water
- In comparison, economically appealing entry point into utilizing our biogas
- Gas conditioning system could be used on other “end use” technology.

## ➤ The “not so” Good

- Another learning curve, aspiration of fluid into the gas equipment... Recommend extensive protection
- Dormancy was bad for the compressor...  
Condensation-corrosion
- Fracking ruined the economics of the ROI



# JRS Group

## ➤ Final Points to Consider in Summary

- Our situation- Pretreatment anaerobically is an absolute. The POTW can't handle our raw.
- The biogas utilization project may not have met the accountant's definition of success but has provided notable energy... And is the "right" thing to do environmentally.
- Both situations above are likely very unique and should be considered during the due diligence of the project proposed.



# JRS Group

- Q and A